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|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Learning Outcome** | **Criterion** | **Pass** | **2:2** | **2:1** | **1st** |
| LO1: understand and apply effective team-based skills, including design methodologies, time and resource management in a simulated commercial  setting    LO5: employ ongoing reflective analysis and evaluation to inform design and development decisions and critically appraise a software artefact    LO6: evaluate the potential ethical, legal, cultural, and social concerns of commercial digital products, and reflect on the impact that these factors may have on a developed artefact | Report Quality(10%) | You have submitted a report with content in all required sections and appropriate language has been maintained throughout.  The document may be above or below the word limit, but appropriate detail has been included. | You have produced a document with all required sections complete, that has clearly been proof-read and checked for errors.  Presentation is neat and professional, and word-counts have been maintained throughout. | You have produced a document with all required sections complete, that has clearly been proof-read and checked for errors.  Presentation is neat and professional, and word-counts have been maintained throughout.  Additionally, the document shows a mature understanding of domain research, and has referenced appropriate academic and non-academic sources throughout. | You have produced a document with all required sections complete, that has clearly been proof-read and checked for errors.  Presentation is neat and professional, and word-counts have been maintained throughout.  Additionally, the document shows a mature understanding of domain research, and has referenced appropriate academic and non-academic sources throughout.  All arguments are concise and made with reference to appropriate research.  All images are well presented and clear. |
| Project Proposal (45%) | You have proposed a project that meets the objectives of the module.  There is some evidence of planning, but this is vague.  The project plan may need additional development to bring the project into scope.  The Team Contract is completed and signed by all team members. | The proposal details a project that is clearly within the scope of the module.  There is evidence of planning, but this may be unrealistic.  The plan will discuss the human resource implications, but individual roles and responsibilities may be vague.  The Team Contract is completed and signed by all team members. | The proposal details a project that is clearly within the scope of the module.  There is evidence of planning, that shows a realistic use of time and human resources.  Project planning tools have been used to clearly detail the teams plan, and these have been presented clearly.  The Team Contract is completed and signed by all team members. | The proposal details a project that is clearly within the scope of the module.  There is evidence of planning, that shows a realistic use of time and human resources.  Project planning tools have been used to clearly detail the teams plan, and these have been presented clearly. The team has considered risk and has put contingencies into their plan to ensure that the project is delivered on time.  The Team Contract is completed and signed by all team members. |
| LSEPI (25%) | The team has provided some legal, social, ethical and professional consideration.  Some reference has been made to a professional code of conduct, and ethical standards have been considered within the discussion. | The team has provided legal, social, ethical and professional consideration.  Additionally, ethical frameworks and standards have been used to explore the project.  A professional code of conduct has been identified as relevant. | The project has had a more in-depth analysis of LSEP issues.  Furthermore, the team has shown some compliance with relevant professional ethical and professional codes of practice. | The project has been fully explored in an analysis of LSEP issues.    The team has very clearly shown complete compliance with relevant professional ethical and professional codes of practice. |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Reflection (20%) | There is some reflection, however this dwells largely on negative aspects of your group engagement. | You have produced a group reflection that is critical, and identifies strengths and identifies areas that need improvement. | You have produced a group reflection that is critical and identifies strengths and identifies areas that need improvement.  In addition, you have made specific reference to the performance of individual group members. | You have produced a cohesive critical reflection which details both the group’s overall engagement, but also reflects on the performance of individual group members.  The reflections are clear and provide scope for an action plan that could improve the group’s performance. |
| **Weighting is 20% of the module** |  | | |  |  |